



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/821,679	04/08/2004	Barrie Tan	BT-002	1383
38051	7590	11/01/2005	EXAMINER	
KIRK HAHN 14431 HOLT AVE SANTA ANA, CA 92705			MELLER, MICHAEL V	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	1655

DATE MAILED: 11/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/821,679	TAN, BARRIE
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michael V. Meller	1655

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 25-29 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.
  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)                     |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____ .   |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____ . | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
|  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____ .                                   |

**DETAILED ACTION**

***Election/Restrictions***

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-24, drawn to a composition, classified in class 425, subclass various.
- II. Claims 25 and 26, drawn to a first method of using said composition, classified in class 435, subclass various.
- III. Claim 27, drawn to a second method of using said composition, classified in class 526, subclass various.
- IV. Claim 28, drawn to a third method of using said composition, classified in class 514, subclass various.
- V. Claim 29, drawn to a fourth method of using said composition, classified in class 530, subclass various.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The inventions are unrelated since the composition can be used in a materially distinct method as evidenced by the claims themselves. The methods are unrelated to each other since they have different effects and modes of operation from each other.

During a telephone conversation with Kirk Han on 10/18/2005 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-24. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 25-29 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-14 and 16-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Woznicki et al. (example III, claims), Franco (abstract), JP 07215836 (abstract), JP 08012563 (abstract), JP 2001323263 (abstract), FR 2483227 (abstract) or FR 2555447 (abstract).

Each of the references teaches annatto extract (*Bixa orellana*). It is inherent to the composition that geranyl geraniols and tocotrienols are in the annatto extract as

noted by applicants in their own specification, see page 11. The specifically claimed geranyl geraniols and tocotrienols are inherently in the annatto compositions since the geranyl geraniols and tocotrienols are derived from the annatto extract.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Woznicki et al. (ex. III, claims), Franco (abstract), JP 07215836 (abstract), JP 08012563 (abstract), JP 2001323263 (abstract), FR 2483227 (abstract) or FR 2555447 (abstract) taken with JP 58180410 (abstract), Borowy-Borowski et al. '826 (col. 2, line 65-col. 3, line 25, ex. 21), Borowy-Borowski et al. '172 (ex. 21), Hoppe et al. '575 (col. 1, lines 50-65, claims) or Hoppe et al. '062 (col. 1, lines 45-60, claims).

Woznicki et al., Franco, JP 07215836, JP 08012563, JP 2001323263, FR 2483227 or FR 2555447 each teach annatto extract (*Bixa orellana*) are used as cosmetics. It is inherent to the composition that geranyl geraniols and tocotrienols are in the annatto extract as noted by applicants in their own specification, see page 11. The

specifically claimed geranyl geraniols and tocotrienols are inherently in the annatto compositions since the geranyl geraniols and tocotrienols are derived from the annatto extract.

JP 58180410 (abstract), Borowy-Borowski et al. '826 (col. 2, line 65-col. 3, line 25, ex. 21) , Borowy-Borowski et al. '172 (ex. 21), Hoppe et al. '575 (col. 1, lines 50-65, claims) or Hoppe et al. '062 (col. 1, lines 45-60, claims) all teach that co-q 10 is known to be used as a cosmetic.

It is well known that it is *prima facie* obvious to combine two or more ingredients each of which is taught by the prior art to be useful for the same purpose in order to form a third composition which is useful for the same purpose. The idea for combining them flows logically from their having been used individually in the prior art. *In re Sussman*, 1943 C.D. 518; *In re Pinten*, 459 F.2d 1053, 173 USPQ 801 (CCPA 1972); *In re Susi*, 58 CCPA 1074, 1079-80; 440 F.2d 442, 445; 169 USPQ 423, 426 (1971); *In re Crockett*, 47 CCPA 1018, 1020-21; 279 F.2d 274, 276-277; 126 USPQ 186, 188 (1960).

The reason or motivation to modify a reference may often suggest what the inventor has done, but for a different purpose or to solve a different problem. It is not necessary that the prior art suggest the combination to achieve the same advantage or result discovered by applicant. While there must be motivation to make the claimed invention, there is no requirement that the prior art provide the same reason as the applicant to make the claimed invention.


MPEP 2144 Sources of Rationale Supporting a Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. 103.  
<[http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/mpep/documents/2100\\_2144.htm](http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/mpep/documents/2100_2144.htm)>

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the annatto extract and the co q-10 together since they are both known individually in the art to be used for the same purpose, namely, to be used in cosmetics.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael V. Meller whose telephone number is 571-272-0967. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday: 9:30am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell can be reached on 571-272-0974. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Michael V. Meller  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 1655

MVM